

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/700,323	09/27/2001	Takashi Niwa	S004-4139-PCT	4841
7590 12/18/2003		EXAMINER		
Bruce L Adams Adams & Wilks			JACKSON, ANDRE K	
50 Broadway 31st Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY 10004			2856	•

DATE MAILED: 12/18/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary    Seximinar			A CA				
Examiner		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
André K. Jackson  2856	Office Action Commence	09/700,323	NIWA ET AL.				
The MALLING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Eathermost of time raple se availation and off 2 CFR 1.136(a). In or event, however, may a risply be timely filed  I the period for reply specified store is last time thin (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thinty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified store is last time thin (30) days, a reply with the statutory minimum of thinty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified store is last time thin (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thinty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified store is last time thin (30) days and vill agrees IX(0) (MONTH'S from the malling date of this communication.  False to specify within the set of extended period for reply will, by statutory period will apply and vill agrees IX(0) (MONTH'S from the malling date of this communication.  False to specify within the set of extended period for reply will, by statutory period will add the communication to the communication.  False to specify within the set of extended period for reply will, by statutory minimum of thinty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period time and the set of extended period of the communication.  False to specific time and the set of extended period of the period of the communication.  False to specific time and the set of the communication of the communication of the period to specific timely.  False to specific timely minimum days and the set of the period timely.  False to specific timely timel and the set of the period timely tim	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Experience of lines may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled  - Experience of lines may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled  - If NO period for reply is pacified above, the maximum delaborary period will apply and vell apply app		4	1				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provision of 37 CPR 1.13(g). In or event, however, may a reply bit timely filled after SIX (6) MONTRS from the mailing date of this communication.  **Provision of time may be available under the provision of 37 CPR 1.13(g). In or event, however, may a reply but timely filled after SIX (6) MONTRS from the mailing date of this communication.  **Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (39 US.C. § 133).  **Any reply received by the Official extent thin threa montain set from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any seamed petent term englished to the provision set from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any seamed petent term englished to the communication is provided the communication of the provision of the set of CPR 1.74(g).  **Status**  1)	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address				
2e)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1.3.4.24-35.37.38 and 40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)  All b)  Some * c) None of: 1   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application Town the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional app	<ul> <li>THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a req. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut.</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing.</li> </ul>	.136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of th d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC te, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4   Claim(s) 1.3.4.24-35.37.38 and 40 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 (	<u>October 2003</u> .					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.					
4)  Claim(s) 1.3.4.24-35.37.38 and 40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 3.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Altachment(s)  11							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12 □ □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13. □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.  37 CFR 1.78.  Altachment(s)  11) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  21 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims						
5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  13. □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  14. □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.	4) Claim(s) 1,3,4,24-35,37,38 and 40 is/are pend	ding in the application.					
6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3,4,24-35,37,38 and 40 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Altachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-152)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.         Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).         Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).         a) All b) Some * c) None of:         1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.         2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No         3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.         37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  1) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	6) Claim(s) 1,3,4,24-35,37,38 and 40 is/are rejection	cted.	•				
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.  37 CFR 1.78.  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some col None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.  37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Altachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.  37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.  37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Altachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.  37 CFR 1.78.  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.  37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•	·					
12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.  37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  1. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.  37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	nts have been received. Ints have been received in ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Into the certified copies notic priority under 35 U.S.C.	Application No n received in this National Stage of received. C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pr 14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	rovisional application has stic priority under 35 U.S.C	been received. C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)						
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of					

Art Unit: 2856

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

 Claims 1,24-26 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takeuchi.

Regarding claim 1, Takeuchi et al. disclose in "Electro-optically controlled measurement probe system" an optical waveguide (Figures 25-26), a tip formed on the first side at the free end (Figures 25-26) and a reflecting member forming part of the reflecting film at the free end of the waveguide (Column 17); the reflecting member having a generally planar surface for reflecting light propagated from the light input/output end of the waveguide for guiding the reflected light towards the microscopic aperture of the tip (Figures 25-26); a reflecting film disposed on the second side of the optical waveguide (Figures 25-26).

Art Unit: 2856

Regarding claim 24, Takeuchi et al. disclose where the reflecting member is a mirror (Figures 25-26).

Regarding claim 25, Takeuchi et al. disclose where the entire mirror is generally planar (Figure 25-26).

Regarding claim 26, Takeuchi et al. disclose where the entire mirror is generally planar (Figure 25-26).

Regarding claim 34, Takeuchi et al. disclose an optical waveguide (Figures 25-26), a tip formed at the free end (Figures 25-26), the tip having a microscopic aperture (Figures 25-26) and a reflecting member forming part of the reflecting film at the free end of the waveguide (Column 17) having a generally planar surface for reflecting light propagated by the optical waveguide and for guiding the reflected light towards the microscopic aperture to generate near-field light at the microscopic aperture (Figures 25-26); a reflecting film disposed on the second side of the optical waveguide (Figures 25-26).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2856

4. Claims 1,3,4 and 24-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muramatsu et al.

Regarding claim 1, Muramatsu et al. disclose an "Optical waveguide probe and optical system" that has an optical waveguide (Figure 1), a tip formed on the first side at the free end (Figure 1) and the reflecting member having a generally planar surface for reflecting light propagated from the light input/output end of the waveguide for guiding the reflected light towards the microscopic aperture of the tip (Figure 2f, 30); a reflecting film disposed on the second side of the optical waveguide (Column 6, Figures 9a-b). Muramatsu does not explicitly show a reflecting member forming part of the reflecting film at the free end of the waveguide. However, in Column 6 and Figures 9ab Muramatsu et al. disclose forming part of the reflecting film with a generally planar surface. It would be certainly within the purview of the artisan to combine the two embodiments in order to get a device which can direct light through the tip (Figure 2) made as part of the reflecting layer (Figure 9) since this would improve the measurement capability in the microscope.

Regarding claim 3, Muramatsu et al. disclose where part of the optical waveguide comprises a core (3), and cladding (4) disposed the core (Figure 1).

Art Unit: 2856

Regarding claim 4, Muramatsu et al. disclose having a light blocking film (26) disposed on the first side of the optical waveguide (Figure 8d).

Regarding claim 24, Muramatsu et al. disclose where the reflecting member is a mirror (Column 4).

Regarding claim 25, Muramatsu et al. disclose where the entire mirror is generally planar (Figure 2f).

Regarding claim 26, Muramatsu et al. disclose where the entire mirror is generally planar (Figure 2f).

Regarding claim 27, Muramatsu et al. disclose where a light blocking film (26) is disposed on the first side of the optical waveguide (Figure 8d).

Regarding claim 28, Muramatsu et al. disclose where the cladding surrounds the core (Figure 1).

Regarding claim 29, Muramatsu et al. disclose a light blocking film (26) disposed on the first side of the optical waveguide (Figure 8d).

Regarding claim 30, Muramatsu et al. disclose where the core has two sides and the cladding is disposed on one of the two sides of the core (Figure 1).

Regarding claim 31, Muramatsu et al. disclose a light blocking film (26) disposed on the first side of the optical waveguide (Figure 8d).

Art Unit: 2856

Regarding claim 32, Muramatsu et al. disclose where the core has two sides and the cladding is disposed on the two sides of the core (Figure 1).

Regarding claim 33, Muramatsu et al. disclose a light blocking film (26) disposed on the first side of the optical waveguide (Figure 8d).

Regarding claim 34, Muramatsu et al. disclose an optical waveguide (Figure 1), a tip formed at the free end (Figure 1), the tip having a microscopic aperture (Figure 1) and having a generally planar surface for reflecting light propagated by the optical waveguide and for guiding the reflected light towards the microscopic aperture to generate near-field light at the microscopic aperture (Figure 2f, 30); a reflecting film disposed on the second side of the optical waveguide (Column 6, Figures 9a-b). Muramatsu does not explicitly show a reflecting member forming part of the reflecting film at the free end of the waveguide. However, in Column 6 and Figures 9a-b Muramatsu et al. disclose forming part of the reflecting film with a generally planar surface. It would be certainly within the purview of the artisan to combine the two embodiments in order to get a device which can direct light through the tip (Figure 2) made as part of the reflecting layer (Figure 9) since this would improve the measurement capability in the microscope.

Art Unit: 2856

Regarding claim 35, Muramatsu et al. disclose a light blocking film (26) disposed on the first side of the optical waveguide (Figure 8d).

Regarding claim 37, Muramatsu et al. disclose an optical waveguide with a longitudinal axis, a first section extending in a direction generally parallel to the longitudinal axis, and a second section extending from the first section relative to the longitudinal axis so that the light reflected by the reflecting member is guided towards the microscopic aperture to generate near field light at the microscopic aperture. Muramatsu et al. does not disclose an angle. However, there has to be a pre-selected angle in order to have the light propagate correctly through the aperture.

Regarding claim 40, Muramatsu et al. disclose an optical waveguide (Figure 1), a tip formed at the free end (Figure 1) with a microscopic aperture (Figure 2f, 30) and a reflecting film disposed on at least a portion of the waveguide, the reflecting member forming part of the reflecting film having a generally planar surface disposed proximate the free end of the waveguide (Figure 8d) relative to the longitudinal axis for reflecting light propagated by the waveguide and for guiding the reflected light towards the microscopic aperture to generate near field light at the microscopic aperture; a reflecting film disposed on the second side of the optical waveguide (Column 6, Figures 9a-b). Muramatsu et al. does not disclose an angle. However,

Art Unit: 2856

there has to be a pre-selected angle in order to have the light propagate correctly through the aperture.

5. Claim 38 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muramatsu et al. in view of Niwa.

Regarding claim 38, Muramatsu et al. disclose a reflecting member disposed on the second section of the waveguide. What is not disclosed is a reflecting film disposed on the first section of the waveguide. However, Niwa discloses a reflecting film disposed on the first section of the waveguide. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Muramatsu et al. to include a reflecting film disposed on the first section of the waveguide since they are from the same field of endeavor.

6. Claim 40 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takeuchi et al.

Regarding claim 40, Takeuchi et al. disclose an optical waveguide (Figures 25-26), a tip formed at the free end (Figures 25-26) with a microscopic aperture (Figures 25-26) and a reflecting film disposed on at least a portion of the waveguide; the reflecting member forming part of the reflecting film having a generally planar surface disposed proximate the free end of the waveguide (Figures 25-26, Column 17) relative to the longitudinal axis for reflecting light

Art Unit: 2856

propagated by the waveguide and for guiding the reflected light towards the microscopic aperture to generate near field light at the microscopic aperture a reflecting film disposed on the second side of the optical waveguide (Figures 25-26). Takeuchi et al. does not disclose an angle. However, there has to be a pre-selected angle in order to have the light propagate correctly through the aperture.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to André K. Jackson whose telephone number is (703) 305-1522. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. 7AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron Williams can be reached on (703) 305-4705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

December 12, 2003

HEZRÓN WILLIAMS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800